

Publishing review for  
*Studia Philosophiae Christianae* (SPCh)  
Institute of Philosophy UKSW in Warsaw

Wóycickiego 1/3, (pok. 302), 01-938 Warszawa – [www.spch.uksw.edu.pl](http://www.spch.uksw.edu.pl) – e-mail: [spch@uksw.edu.pl](mailto:spch@uksw.edu.pl)

---

Date: dd-mm-yyyy / No.: yyyy-xxx

1. Reviewer (*The reviewer's data is NOT shared with the author of the article*)

Name: ...

Institution: ...

---

2. Reviewed article – title

...

3. Evaluation

*(choose from: definitely yes / rather yes / rather no / definitely no)*

<input type="checkbox"/> Does the article deal with philosophical issues?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Is the title of the article appropriate to its content?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Are the interpretations/conclusions adequately justified?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Does the article bring anything new to the issues discussed?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Is the article's composition (structure) satisfactory?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Is the language side (style, etc.) satisfactory?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Is the choice of keywords appropriate?	
<i>(mark one)</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> I estimate the scholar value of the article as:	high    mediate    low

Conclusion – I think that the article submitted for evaluation (*mark one*):

- can be published without changes
- can be published with minor changes
- can be published after significant changes
- should be rejected

4. If corrections in the article are recommended (*mark one*):

- I would like to receive the corrected article again for my opinion
- I do not require re-reviewing the article

*Please provide the reasons for your opinion on the next page.  
The Reviewer's comments will be forwarded to the author anonymously.*

**5. Reasons** (*please provide reasons in each of the following sections*)

**General comments:**

**Strong points:**

**Weak points:**

**Other comments** (*optional*)

-----  
*Reviewer's signature*

