## Publishing re-review for Studia Philosophiae Christianae (SPCh) Institute of Philosophy UKSW in Warsaw

Wóycickiego 1/3, (pok. 302), 01-938 Warszawa – <a href="www.spch.uksw.edu.pl">www.spch.uksw.edu.pl</a> – e-mail: <a href="mailto:spch@uksw.edu.pl">spch@uksw.edu.pl</a>

| Date: dd-mm-yyyy / No.: yyyy-xxx  |              |                |              |
|---|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| 1. Reviewer ( <i>The reviewer's data is NOT shared with the author of the article</i> )               |              |                |              |
| Name:   |              |                |              |
| Institution:  |              |                |              |
|   |              |                |              |
| 2. Reviewed article – title   |              |                |              |
| •••   |              |                |              |
|   |              |                |              |
| 3. Evaluation (choose from: definitely yes / rath   | ner ves / ra | ither no / de  | finitely no) |
| ☐ Are the comments made in the first review taken into account in the revised version of the article? | ler yes y ru | idici ilo y de | militery moy |
| □ Do the changes made meet the remarks submitted in the first review?                                 |              |                |              |
| ☐ Is the scholar value of the article increased due to the introduced changes?                        |              |                |              |
|   |              | (              | mark one)    |
| ☐ I estimate the scholar value of the article as:   | high         | mediate        | low          |
| Conclusion – I think that the article submitted for evaluation <i>(mark one)</i> :                    |              |                |              |
| can be published without changes  |              |                |              |
| can be published with minor changes   |              |                |              |
| can be published after significant changes  |              |                |              |
| should be rejected  |              |                |              |
| 4. If corrections in the article are recommended (mark one):  |              |                |              |
| I would like to receive the corrected article again for my opinion                                    |              |                |              |
| I do not require re-reviewing the article   |              |                |              |

| 5. Reasons                |                      |  |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--|
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
| Other comments (optional) |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           | Reviewer's signature |  |
|                           |                      |  |
|                           |                      |  |