## Publishing review for Studia Philosophiae Christianae (SPCh) Institute of Philosophy UKSW in Warsaw

Wóycickiego 1/3, (pok. 302), 01-938 Warszawa – <a href="www.spch.uksw.edu.pl">www.spch.uksw.edu.pl</a> – e-mail: <a href="mailto:spch@uksw.edu.pl">spch@uksw.edu.pl</a>

Date: dd-mm-yyyy / No.: yyyy-xxx			
1. Reviewer (The reviewer's data is NOT shared with the author of the article	·)		
Name:			
Institution:			
2. Reviewed report – title			
<b></b>			
3. Evaluation	,		<b>6</b>
(choose from: definitely yes / rathum Does the report deal with an event that involves issues of a	ner yes / ra	ther no / de	finitely no)
Does the report deal with an event that involves issues of a philosophical character?			
☐ Is the event presentation adequately detailed and understandable?			
☐ Is the report's composition (structure) satisfactory?			
☐ Is the language side (style, etc.) satisfactory?			
☐ Is the choice of keywords appropriate?			
		(	mark one)
☐ I rate the value of the reported scholarly event as:	high	mediate	low
Conclusion – I think that the report submitted for evaluation <i>(mark one)</i> :			
can be published without changes			
can be published with minor changes			
can be published after significant changes			
should be rejected			
4. If corrections in the report are recommended (mark one):			
I would like to receive the corrected report again for my opinion			
I do not require re-reviewing the report			

<b>5. Reasons</b> (please provide reasons in each of the following sections)		
General comments:		
Strong points:		
Weak points:		
Other comments (optional)		
other comments (optional)		
•	Reviewer's signature	