## Publishing review for Studia Philosophiae Christianae (SPCh) Institute of Philosophy UKSW in Warsaw

Wóycickiego 1/3, (pok. 302), 01-938 Warszawa – <u>www.spch.uksw.edu.pl</u> – e-mail: <u>spch@uksw.edu.pl</u>

Date: dd-mm-yyyy / No.: yyyy-xxx

Reviewer (The reviewer's data is NOT shared with the author of the article)

Name: ...

Institution: ...

2. Reviewed discussion - title

••••

## 3. Evaluation

## (choose from: definitely yes / rather yes / rather no / definitely no)

I assess the value of the polemic as:	high	mediate	low		
	1		(mark one)		
Is the choice of keywords appropriate?					
Is the language side (style, etc.) satisfactory?					
Is the discussion interesting and does it add anything new to the issues raised?					
Are the positions/interpretations/conclusions presented in the discussion adequately expressed and justified?					
Does the discussion deal with philosophical issues?	e discussion deal with philosophical issues?				

Conclusion – I think that the discussion submitted for evaluation (mark one):

-			
can be	published	without	changes

can be published with minor changes

can be published after significant changes

## should be rejected

4. If corrections in the discussion are recommended (mark one):

I would like to receive the corrected article again for my opinion

I do not require re-reviewing the article

*Please provide the reasons for your opinion on the next page. The Reviewer's comments will be forwarded to the author anonymously.*  5. Reasons

6. Other comments (optional)

Reviewer's signature

STUDIA PHILOSOPHIAE CHRISTIANAE